

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,901	03/04/2004	Kenichiro Ono	03500.013610.1	4468
5514 7590 09/18/2006			EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER	MYERS, PAUL R		
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1,2,, 10,4,			2112	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		r			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence		10/791,901	ONO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Paul R. Myers	2112		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. Communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	<u>igust 2006</u> . action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 51-53 and 55 is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 51-53 and 56 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 51-53 and 55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argument regarding the priority date is persuasive. The examiner granted priority however he read the wrong date and applied 6/23/99 as the priority date instead of 06/26/98. The examiner is hereby accepting 06/26/98 as the priority date.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodama et al PN 5,532,563.

In regards to claims 51 and 53: Kodama et al teaches an information processing apparatus (1) comprising a processing unit (5-8, 10-12, 16, 17,) which executes processing of data from a power supplying apparatus (4 which includes 2 within it Abstract); and a power control unit (3, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17) comprising: a connector (2b and the connection between 4 and 16) which receives the data (inherent) and an external power (2) from power supplying apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/791,901 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

(4); a limiter (9) which limits the external power from the power supplying apparatus (4) to the processing unit, for providing to the power control unit; and a power providing unit (2) which provides power for executing the processing (5 or 16) by the processing unit (5 or 16), the provided power generated by adding a power supplied from a battery (3) into a power supplied form the source (2) in a case where a necessary power for the processing unit (5 or 16) and power control unit exceeds the external power supplied from the power supplying apparatus (abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 52 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al PN 5,532,563 in view of The USB specification Revision 1.0.

In regards to claims 52 and 55: Kodama et al only teaches the amount of current is limited by the up stream source. Kodama et al does not expressly state this is because of a standard. USB standard teaches limiting the current provided to peripherals (Sect 7.2 see specifically fig 7-24). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use USB as the connection type because this would have provided for a popular standard.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,901 Page 4

Art Unit: 2112

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

PN 6,100,874 to Schena et al teaches a USB peripheral that includes a battery to boost power due to the power limit of the USB standard.

PN 5,818,948 to Gulick teaches a USB speaker that includes a local power supply to power to power the speaker due to the limit of the USB standard.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul R. Myers whose telephone number is 571 272 3639. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 6:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,901 Page 5

Art Unit: 2112

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRM September 11, 2006 PAUL F. MYERS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Paul R. My